

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,050	MADDURY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William S. Powers	2134	

All Participants:

(1) William S. Powers.

(2) Kambiz Zand.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Craig Holmes.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2006

Time: 3:00 PM Eastern Time

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Holmes was told that the application would be in condition for allowance if the limitations of claim 7 were incorporated into claim 5. Mr. Holmes agreed to this stipulation and authorized an Examiner's Amendment to the claims to reflect this.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 KAMBIZ ZAND
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)